A Dash of SALT

Arizona's 2018 Government Property Lease Excise Tax Reforms

In this month's state and local tax (SALT) column, Busby explains recent changes to Arizona's government property lease excise tax, which applies to certain government-owned properties leased to private parties. In particular, the changes may affect the ability of some buildings to qualify for the eight-year tax abatement available to qualifying buildings located in designated slum or blighted areas in established central business districts.

Some Arizona municipalities use their property-tax-exempt status to persuade businesses to locate in their jurisdictions by taking title to buildings that the businesses construct on government-owned land and then leasing them back to the businesses.

Arizona's Government Property Lease Excise Tax

In 1996, the Arizona Legislature enacted an excise tax referred to as the government property lease excise tax (GPLET), which applies to the use or occupancy of some of some government-owned properties. In particular, every city, town, county and stadium district that holds title to one or more buildings located on land that it or another political subdivision of the state owns that are available for use for any commercial, residential rental or industrial purpose must levy GPLET, generally at a particular rate per square foot — unless an exemption or abatement applies.

The Eight-Year Abatement Period

Qualifying properties located in statutorily defined "slum or blighted areas" within the designated "central business district" of a city or town may qualify for a GPLET abatement for eight years from issuance of the certificate of occupancy.

The 2018 GPLET Changes

The Arizona Legislature recently amended some of the definitions used to determine whether properties qualify for GPLET abatement, and it will soon require cities and towns to periodically review and renew, modify or terminate their designations of areas as slum or blighted.

New Limitations on Central Business Districts

Arizona law requires that central business districts must be "geographically compact," and limits their overall size. Per this year's amendments, which went into effect on August 3, central business districts may not exceed the greatest of (1) the existing total land area of the central business district of the city or town as of January 1, 2018, (2) 2.5 percent of the total land area within the exterior boundaries of the city or town, or (3) 960 acres.

Until this year's amendments, Arizona's GPLET statutes did not define "geographically compact." Under this year's amendment, central business districts formed



by James G. Busby, Jr., CPA

James G. Busby, Jr., CPA, is a state and local tax attorney at The Cavanagh Law Firm. Busby previously worked in the SALT departments at Arthur Andersen and Deloitte & Touche. Before entering private practice, Busby was in charge of all transaction privilege (sales) tax audits at the Arizona Department of Revenue. If you have any questions, please contact the author. He can be reached at (602) 322-4146 or JBusby@CavanaghLaw.com.

before January 1, 2018, automatically qualify as geographically compact. Cities or towns that form or expand central business districts after that must satisfy new, arcane criteria in order for them to qualify as geographically compact.

Periodic Reviews of Slum or Blighted Area Designations

This year's amendment requires each Arizona city and town to review each area that they designated as slum or blighted within a central business district before September 30, 2018, on or before September 30, 2020. They must either renew, modify or terminate the designation at that time and do so again every 10 years for those that they renew, modify, or designate as slum or blighted after September 30, 2018. Otherwise, the slum or blighted designation automatically expires five years from the time that the city or town was supposed to review it. Grandfather provisions protect certain planned or existing improvements within areas whose designation as a slum or blighted area gets terminated or expires.

Moratorium on GPLET Reforms

While opponents successfully lobbied for significant GPLET reforms over the last several years that have weakened GPELT as an economic development tool for some future projects, they claim that they reached a truce with GPLET advocates during this year's legislative session. Thus, for now, GPLET remains a viable economic development tool, albeit not as generous as it otherwise would have been for some future projects.

James Busby will be speaking at the ADOR Tax Luncheon on Dec. 10. Register at www.ascpa.com