

Arizona's New State and Local Sales Tax Nexus Guidelines

by James G. Busby Jr.

Reprinted from State Tax Notes, December 12, 2016, p. 841

SALT FROM MY SADDLE

state tax notes™

Arizona's New State and Local Sales Tax Nexus Guidelines

by James G. Busby Jr.



James G. Busby Jr. is a state and local tax attorney at the Cavanagh Law Firm in Phoenix. Busby previously worked in the SALT departments at Arthur Andersen and Deloitte & Touche. Before entering private practice in 1999, Busby was the chief auditor in charge of transaction privilege (sales) tax audits at the Arizona Department of Revenue. He can

be reached at JBusby@CavanaghLaw.com.

In this edition of SALT From My Saddle, Busby addresses a new ruling that outlines the department's position concerning nexus for municipal sales tax purposes for out-of-state companies that lack a broad Arizona presence.

© 2016 James G. Busby Jr. All rights reserved.

In a recent ruling, the Arizona Department of Revenue rescinded and replaced the guidance it issued eight years ago concerning the factors it considers to determine whether an out-of-state business has nexus for purposes of Arizona's sales and use taxes. In the ruling, for the first time, the department stated that businesses that have nexus for state sales tax purposes also have nexus for municipal sales tax purposes.¹

Why the Ruling Is Controversial

Of the 45 states that collect sales taxes, Arizona is one of just four that permit municipalities to impose sales taxes under their own municipal tax codes. The other 41 states impose municipal sales taxes under their state tax codes, collect the taxes centrally, and distribute a portion of the taxes they collect to their municipalities. Because the municipal sales taxes in most states are imposed by the states, when those states have sufficient nexus to collect sales taxes from transactions in interstate commerce, the municipalities in those states receive a portion of the taxes collected.

However, in Arizona — at least before the department issued this new ruling — out-of-state retailers frequently contended that municipalities are not automatically entitled to sales taxes on proceeds from interstate commerce just because the state is entitled to sales taxes. The retailers believed that establishing nexus with Arizona and one or more municipalities in the state did not automatically establish nexus with all municipalities in the state.

An Example

Assume that an out-of-state retailer establishes nexus with Arizona solely by sending employees or engaging an unrelated third party to install products it sold to customers in Tucson over the course of several days.

By sending employees or agents to Tucson to install products for customers over the course of several days, the out-of-state retailer established nexus with the state of Arizona and the city of Tucson. So the state could require the retailer to file sales tax returns and pay state and county sales taxes on those transactions and any subsequent transactions with customers in Arizona during the same calendar year, even if the subsequent transactions are online transactions and do not involve installations or any other physical presence in Arizona by the retailer or its agents. Likewise, Tucson could require the retailer to do the same thing — but only for transactions with customers located in Tucson.

However, in this scenario, the retailer could argue that it established nexus only with the state and with the city of Tucson and that other municipalities in Arizona could not require it to pay sales taxes on proceeds from transactions with customers located within their municipal limits.

While Arizona municipalities value the autonomy that comes with imposing sales taxes under their own tax codes, if this theory is correct, that autonomy comes at a price.

An Important Position Not Disclosed in the Ruling

Although the department did not disclose this in its recent ruling, its position is that when out-of-state software companies retain ownership of the software that they license to their customers, they establish nexus with the state regardless of how the software is delivered and whether or not the out-of-state software company has any other connections with the state.

¹Ariz. Transaction Privilege Tax Ruling TPR 16-1 (Sept. 20, 2016).

Practice Tip

In days past, when deciding whether to collect and remit sales taxes, businesses almost always were best advised to simply follow any written guidance issued by taxing authorities — even though it seems tax authorities often lean toward the taxable end of the spectrum on gray issues. But in today's litigious environment in which businesses also have to contend with class action lawsuits when they accidentally collect too much tax from their customers, they should consult with their tax advisers on gray issues, even when the taxing authority has weighed in on the issue, and especially when the taxing authority's position on the issue is suspect.

Call for Entries:

Tax Analysts' Annual

Student Writing Competition

Tax Analysts is pleased to announce the opening of its annual student writing competition for 2017. This global competition enables students who win to publish a paper in *Tax Notes, State Tax Notes*, or *Tax Notes International* and receive a 12-month online subscription to all three weekly magazines after graduation. Submissions are judged on originality of argument, content, grammar, and overall quality.

- Students should be enrolled in a law, business, or public policy program.
- Papers should be between 2,500 and 12,000 words and focus on an unsettled question in tax law policy.
- Papers must not have been published elsewhere.
- Deadline for entries is May 31, 2017.

For additional guidelines and to submit a paper, go to:

www.taxanalysts.org/awards/for-students Click on "Submit your paper."

Questions may be emailed to: studentwritingcomp@taxanalysts.org

